RESOLUTION NO. 659

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AMENDING THE SIX-YEAR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, AND DIRECTING THE SAME TO BE FILED WITH THE STATE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE PUGET SOUND REGIONAL COUNCIL

WHEREAS, RCW 35.77.010 requires the City to adopt a comprehensive transportation program; and

WHEREAS, a Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is an important consideration in the City's long range planning; and

WHEREAS, a TIP will be a tool to help the City plan the directions it will consider in the future; and

WHEREAS, street and arterial needs are important considerations to the City; and

WHEREAS, following a Public Hearing on March 4, 1996, the proposed Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program was adopted; and

WHEREAS, the Six-Year Transportation Plan was amended on November 17, 1997 August 17, 1998, and July 7, 1999; and August 21, 2000, August 6, 2001, September 16, 2002, August 4, 2003, November 1, 2004, September 6, 2005, November 6, 2006, March 17, 2008, November 10, 2008 and October 5, 2009; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the Amended Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan on Monday, October 18, 2010;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. <u>Program Adopted.</u> The revised Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program for the City of University Place, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, which program sets forth project locations, type of improvement and the estimated cost thereof, is hereby adopted and approved with the added provision that projects number 41 and 53 would not proceed without a two-thirds majority from Council.

Section 2. <u>Filing of Program.</u> The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to file a copy of this Resolution, together with the Exhibit attached hereto, with the Secretary of Transportation and the Puget Sound Regional Council.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon signing.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON OCTOBER 18, 2010.

Debbie Klosowski, Mayor

ATTEST:

my Genetia, City Clerk



City of University Place

City Engineering Department

Six – Year Transportation Improvement Plan

2011 - 2016

Amended October 18, 2010 Resolution No. 659

SIX-YEAR TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2011 - 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	<u>Page</u>
Overview	i
Funding Sources City Funding Federal Funding State Funding	ii -iv
Program Sections Narrative	v - vi
Project List/Types	vii
Six Year Plan	1 - 9
US Open Corridor Map	10
TIP Plan Map	11
Appendix "A" Resolution Appendix "B" SEPA Checklist Appendix "C" Determination of Non-Significance (Environmental)	

OVERVIEW

Purpose

The purpose of this document is to revise the City of University Place 6-Year Transportation Program (adopted March 4, 1996) and to coordinate the City's future programs and projects. The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapters 35.77 and 36.81 requires this document to be updated annually and to be filed with the Secretary of the Department of Transportation. This document is also prepared to inform other neighboring jurisdictions of the City of University Place's current planning direction for transportation needs.

<u>Review</u>

This document is submitted to the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) for review and inclusion in the yearly update of the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). Their review of projects receiving federal funding in the near term fulfills the requirement that the Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) determine that such expenditures are consistent with regionally adopted goals and plans.

Project Selection

Projects included in this document are the result of evaluation of needs in various transportation areas. Through citizen surveys, the citizens of University Place expressed that non-motorized transportation improvements (sidewalks, bike lanes, streetlights, etc.) are the most needed improvements in University Place. In addition, the Public Works Department receives many calls from concerned citizens requesting improvements to the City transportation network to allow for safer pedestrian use. Almost all of the projects in this document provide for non-motorized transportation and replacement of existing infrastructure. The timing of projects and the phasing of various parts are based on the anticipated funds available for each type of project, accident information, and school and commercial access routes. Understandably, the factors determining funding and priority can and do change from year to year.

Program Section

Projects included in this document are separated into the following categories:

- Project List
 Summary list of projects included in the Six-Year Transportation Plan.
- 2. Six-Year Plan
 Shows detail project description, limits, schedule, and funding status.

Funding Sources

REVENUES

Arterial Street Fund

The City receives a proportionate share of the State Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax, based on the population. The exact amount varies depending on the amount of fuel sold in the State.

General Fund

The General Fund is supported primarily from local taxes to provide governmental services such as police protection, jail services, court services, parks maintenance, recreation programs, building inspections, planning and zoning, construction and maintenance of streets, and general government administration.

Surface Water Management Funds

The City collects a surface water management fee on each City parcel to finance surface water and storm drainage elements of various road improvement projects. In addition, the City uses revenues from the Surface Water Management (SWM) Fund, which is utilized to finance capital improvement surface water and storm drainage projects.

Real Estate Excise Tax

The Real Estate Excise Tax is levied on all sales of real estate, measured by the full selling price. The City has authorized a locally imposed tax of 0.5%, in two 0.25% increments. These revenues are restricted to financing capital projects as specified in the City's Capital Facilities Plan.

Traffic Impact Fees

The City has passed a Traffic Impact Fee for increased street use based on development within the City. The TIF will generate funds to improve streets and related infrastructure directly attributable to the increased development.

FEDERAL FUNDING PROGRAMS (SAFETEA-LU, CMAQ, STP, CCRP, TSNS)

Federal programs are currently funded under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA-LU) and are administered by the Highways and Local Programs Division of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), in conjunction with the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) and the Regional Federal Highway Engineer.

SAFETEA-LU

The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA-LU) funds transportation enhancement activities designed to strengthen the cultural, aesthetic and environmental aspects of the Nation's inter-modal transportation system. The program provides for the implementation of non-traditional projects, such as bike and pedestrian facilities, safety and education activities for pedestrians and bicyclists,

City of University Place, Washington

landscape and scenic beautification, and the mitigation of water pollution from run-off. Funding is based on a Federal share of 86.5 percent, with a 13.5 percent local match.

CMAQ

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds transportation programs and projects that will, or are likely to, contribute to attainment of a National Air Quality Standard. WSDOT is required to consult with the Environmental Protection Agency to determine whether a transportation project or program will contribute to attainment of standards, unless such project or program is included in an approved State implementation plan. CMAQ funds cannot be used on projects resulting in the construction of new capacity available to single-occupant vehicles unless they are available to single-occupant vehicles at other than peak travel times. Allocation for CMAQ funds will follow the same criteria as Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds. To be eligible for funding under this program, a project must be on the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) list and rank high enough on the region's priority array. Funding is based on a Federal share of 86.5 percent, with a 13.5 percent local match.

STP

The objective of the Surface Transportation Program (STP) is to fund construction, reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration and rehabilitation of roads that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors. STP also supports funding for transportation enhancements, operational improvements, highway and transit safety improvements, surface transportation planning, capital and operating cost for traffic management and control, carpool and vanpool projects, development and establishment of management systems, participation in wetland mitigation and wetland banking, bicycle facilities and pedestrian walkways.

STP funds have regional allocation through the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC). The PSRC sub-allocates funds by County region based on the percentage of the population. The Puget Sound Region is formed by the counties of King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish. To be eligible for funding under this program, a project must be on the Regional TIP list and rate high enough within the region's priority array. Funding is based on a Federal share of 86.5 percent, with a 13.5 percent local match.

TSNS

The goal of the Traffic Safety Near Schools Program (TSNS) is to fund capital projects for traffic and pedestrian safety improvements near schools. Eligible projects include sidewalks and walkways; school signing and signals (within cited limitations); improved pedestrian crossings, such as medians, curb bulbs, flashing in-pavement warning lights in crosswalks, flashing beacons; turning lanes; school bus pullouts; roadway channelization and signalization. Pedestrian facility improvements must be on an approved, published and disseminated school walk route plan; and motor vehicle improvements must be on streets immediately adjacent to the school. A 25 percent match is required.

STATE FUNDING SOURCES (TPP, AIP, PSMP)

State funding programs are administered to counties and cities through the Transportation Improvement Board (TIB) and the County Road Administration Board (CRAB). The TIB administers the Transportation Partnership Program (TPP), the Arterial Improvement Program (AIP), the Pedestrian Safety and Mobility Program (PSMP).\
The CRAB administers the Rural Arterial Program (RAP). The following descriptions identify specifics on each program:

City of University Place, Washington

TPP

The Transportation Partnership Program (TPP), formerly the Transportation Improvement Account (TIA), is funded from 1-1/2 cents of the motor vehicle fuel tax. It provides transportation project funding for urban counties, cities with populations of over 5,000, and Transportation Benefit Districts (TBD). TPP projects must meet multi-agency planning and coordination and public/private cooperation criteria, in order to further the goal of achieving a balanced transportation system in Washington State. Projects must be attributable to congestion caused by economic development or growth; consistent with state, regional and local comprehensive plans contributions; and be partially funded by local contributions (including transit and rail). Projects are eligible for cost reimbursement of up to 80 percent, and receive a higher priority if their local contribution is greater than the 20 percent minimum match and includes private sector funds.

<u>AIP</u>

The Arterial Improvement Program (AIP) was established to reduce congestion and improve safety, geometrics, and structural concerns. Project selection criteria include pavement condition, pavement and roadway width, traffic, accidents, and people-carrying capacity. The AIP receives approximately 1-1/2 cents from the state motor vehicle fuel tax. Projects can receive up to 80 percent reimbursement, depending on agency population.

PSMP

The Pedestrian Safety & Mobility Program (PSMP), formerly the Pedestrian Facilities Program (PFP), was established to enhance and promote pedestrian mobility and safety as a viable transportation choice by providing funding for pedestrian projects that provide access and address system continuity and connectivity of pedestrian facilities. Selection criteria include safety, pedestrian generators, convenience, public acceptance and project cost. Funds for this program are provided from the AIP and TPP.

PROGRAM SECTIONS NARRATIVE

Projects included in this section of the program have been recognized as meeting a City transportation system need. Given the present level of available transportation financing, not all projects are fully funded and are subject to selection. However, projects listed in this section provide other agencies with a clear indication of what the City would accomplish if additional funding were obtained. If an unexpected source of funding for a particular project should become available, the project could be moved forward in the programming process with only minor revisions to the work program. Projects within the project list are identified by improvement type. The following describes these types:

Ongoing Programs: Ongoing Programs identifies categories of work that are recurrent or ongoing in nature. Funds in these categories provide for some degree of flexibility for Public Works Administration to respond as necessary to unforeseen circumstances.

US Open Corridor Projects: During the next six years, the City will need to plan for a major regional event, the 2015 US Open at Chambers Bay. Because of its significance, the City has identified the key corridors that will be used to serve the event and have identified the projects on the TIP that are part of this corridor. Projects on the US Open corridor list have a high priority status. It is the City's goal is to make all US Open Corridors into "World Class Linear Parkways" by Summer of 2014.

Road Projects: Road projects include all phases of engineering and construction. Each project may contain survey work, preliminary engineering, preparation of construction plans, right-of-way acquisition work, or the preparation of specifications and cost estimates for construction. The upgrading of existing roads may involve the widening of lanes or shoulders, adding lanes, concrete curb, gutter or sidewalks, revising vertical or horizontal alignment, improving intersections and storm drainage.

The construction of new roadways may involve clearing and grading land, preparing the roadway base with crushed rock, paving, installing storm drainage ditches or structures, and building retaining walls. Roadway projects also include storm drainage work related to roadway construction, maintenance or associated impacts. This may entail construction of new or major revisions to existing surface water detention facilities. These facilities may also mitigate water quality concerns due to roadway construction or use.

Bridge Projects: The bridge projects listed are a result of both routine and special inspections of all bridges in the City road system. Proposed bridge replacement projects are first reviewed by a three-member Technical Committee and then by a nine-member Bridge Replacement Advisory Committee. The Assistant Secretary for Local Programs then selects the final bridge replacement candidates.

Traffic/Signal Projects: Traffic/Signal projects involve a wide variety of traffic safety improvements but are primarily centered on installation of new traffic signals at intersections where warrants indicate their need.

City of University Place, Washington

Enhancement Projects: Enhancement projects will be accomplished through implementation of concrete curb, gutter and sidewalks at various locations in the existing roadway network. These projects may incorporate bicycle lanes. Pedestrian safety projects may involve roadway and/or storm drainage work and will enhance pedestrian safety and improve access.

City of University Place, Wa. 6 YEAR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2011 - 2016 Project Types

Project Type	Project #	Project Name	Project Limits
R/T	1 .	Mildred St - Phase 1 (US Open Corridor)	Regents Blvd. To 19th Street
R	2	Mildred St - Phase 2 (US Open Corridor)	Regents Blvd. To 19th Street
R	3	Bridgeport Way W. Phase 5 (US Open Corridor)	19th Street W. to 27th Street W.
R	4	27th Street W - Phase 1 (US Open Corridor)	Grandview Drive to Bridgeport Way
R	5	27th Street - Phase 2 (US Open Corridor)	Bridgeport Way to 67th Ave/Mildred
T	6	27th Street/Bridgeport Intersection (US Open Corridor)	27th Street/Bridgeport Intersection
Е	7	Cirque Drive - Phase 2C (US Open Corridor)	Sunset Drive to 67th Avenue
E	8	Cirque Drive - Phase 3 (US Open Corridor)	67th Avenue to Orchard Street
R	9	Cirque Drive Phase 4 (US Open Corridor)	Grandview Drive to Sunset Drive
T	10	Cirque Drive/67th Avenue Intersection (US Open Corridor)	Cirque Dr/67th Ave Intersection
R	11	Bridgeport Way W. Phase 3B (US Open Corridor)	54th St to Chambers Ln
E	12	Chambers Creek Road/Chambers Lane (US Open Corridor)	64th Street to Bridgeport Way
R	13	Bridgeport Way W. Phase 4 (US Open Corridor)	Chambers Ln to South City Limits
E	14	44th Street W Phase 1	
	15		Bridgeport Way to 67th Avenue
R E	16	Alameda North - Phase 2 67th Avenue - Phase 3	Cirque Drive W. to 40th St. W. Bridgeport Way to Regents Blvd.
E E	17 18	40th Street Phase 2	Sunset Drive to 67th
		40th Street Phase 3	Bridgeport Way to 67th Ave
Е	19	Grandview Drive - Phase 5a	27th Street to 19th Street
E	20	Grandview Drive - Phase 5b	27th Street to 19th Street
R	21	Alameda South	From current southern terminus to 67th Ave. W. (South extension)
T	22	40th Street/Bridgeport Intersection	40th St/Bridgeport Intersection
R	23	Drexler Drive North Phase 2	37th Street to 35th Street
T	24	Sunset Drive Traffic Calming	Cirque Drive to 19th Street
E	25	Chambers Creek Road "B" (lower)	Chambers Bay Bridge to 64th Street
R	26	Larson Lane North/35th Street	3600 Block to 35th Street/Larson Lane to Bridgeport
E	27	Sunset Drive	Cirque Drive to 19th Street
E	28	Elwood Drive	29th Street to 27th Street
R	29	26th - 35th Street - Phase 1	Grandview Drive to Larson Lane
R	30	27th - 35th Street - Phase 2	Drexler Drive to 67th Avenue
R	31	Beckonridge Drive Phase 1	Grandview Drive to Cirque Drive
R	32	Beckonridge Drive Phase 2	Grandview Drive to Cirque Drive
E	33	Lemmons Beach/31st Street/Parkway	City Limits to Elwood Drive
E	34	44th Street Phase 2	Elwood Drive to Bridgeport Way
E	35	27th Street	Day Island Bridge to Grandview Drive
R	36	Chambers Creek Road "C"	Chambers Lane to Bridgeport Way
R	37	54th Street	79th Avenue to Bridgeport Way
Е	38	Elwood Drive	Cirque Drive to 40th Street
R	39	Street Overlay Program	Various Locations
R	40	37th Street	Bridgeport Way to Drexler Drive
R	41	37th Street Connection	Sunset Drive to 7900 Block
R	42	57th Avenue Connection	Cirque Drive to 5800 Block
R	43	Drexler Drive South	40th Street to 42nd Street
R	44	Larson Lane South - Phase 1	37th Street to 38th Street
R	45	Larson Lane South - Phase 2	38th Street to 40nd Street
R	46	Larson Lane South - Phase 3	40th Street to 42nd Street
R	47	42nd Street - Phase 1	Drexler Drive to Bridgeport Way
R	48	42nd Street - Phase 2	Bridgeport Way to Larson Lane
R	49	Market Place	Bridgeport Way to 2.1800 Lane Bridgeport Way to 37th Street
T	50	40th Street/67th Avenue Intersection	40th Street/67th Ave Intersection
R	51	56th Street Extension	Connect 56th Street to 54th Street at the 8500 block
E	52		
E		70th Avenue Phase 2	27th Street to 19th Street
	53	37th Street Phase 2	7900 Block to Bridgeport Way
R	54	Intermodal Transit Facility Phase 2	3609 Market Place West

MPO: PSRC Puget Sound Regional Council Agency: CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend: G-Gas, C-Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other

Functional Class	sification:	00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - 0	Collector	r; 19 - Local						(Project Co	osts in 2011 Dol	lars X 1000)						
							_			Phase Data						Schedule (Lo		
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification		Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2011	2nd 2012	3rd 2013	4th-6th 2014-2016
US Open Co	rridor Pi	ojects																
		1 - Mildred St Phase 1 (US Open Corrido	nr)		_									1				
16	P	City of University Place	,,			GCPSTW	PE / 12			P	100	0	100	PE	0	100	0	0
		Int. of 67th Ave and Regents Blvd. to 19th		12	0.341		RW / 13			P	150	0	150	RW	0	0	150	0
		and the second second					CN / 15			P	825	0	825	CN	0	0	0	825
		* Construct additional northbound travel lan	e, bike la	anes, concrete cur	b and gutter.	and intersection i	mprovments	š.						Total	0	100	0	825
						PROJECT TOT	AL		0		1,075	0	1,075					
	_	2 - Mildred St - Phase 2 (US Open Corric	lor)											L				_
16	P	City of University Place				GCPSTW		F	298	_		47	345	PE	175	170	0	0
		Regents Blvd. To 19th Street		12	0.341		RW / 12	F	372	P		58	430	RW	0	430	0	0
		*6	. 11. 1. 2	1 4 11 6			CN / 14	P	1,517	P		237	1,754	CN Total	0 175	0 600	1,754 1,754	0
		* Construct sidewalk, planter strip and street	t ngnung	g on both sides of i	ne street.									Total	1/5	600	1,/54	U
						PROJECT TOT	CAL		2.187		0	342	2,529					
						TROJECT TO	AL		2,107		0	342	2,327	1				
		3 - Bridgeport Way W. Phase 5 (US Open	n Corrid	dor)														
14	P	City of University Place		06	0.511	GCPSTW	PE / 11	F	103	P	97	50	250	PE	153	0	97	0
		19th Street W. to 27th Street W.					RW / 14	P	829	P		207	1,036	RW	0	0	1,036	0
							CN /15	P	1,905	P		476	2,381	CN	0	0	0	2,381
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewa	alk on bo	oth sides of the stre	eet.									Total	153	0	1,133	2,381
		Include bicycle lanes, storm drainage, and s	street lig	hting and undergre	ounding.													
						PROJECT TOT	AL		2,837		97	733	3,667					
16	P	4 - 27th St W - Phase 1 (US Open Corrid	or)	06	0.625	GCPSTW	PE / 13			P	140	0	140	PE	0	0	140	0
10	r	City of University Place Grandview Drive to Bridgeport Way		06	0.023	GCFSIW	RW / 14			P	102	0	102	RW	0	0	0	102
		Grandview Drive to Bridgeport way					CN / 15			P	2000	0	2,000	CN	0	0	0	2,000
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter, bicycle lar	nes and s	sidewalk on both s	ides of the s	treet	CIV / IS				2000		2,000	Total	0	0	140	2,102
		Include bicycle lanes, storm drainage, stree												10	· ·	Ü	110	2,102
				5		PROJECT TOT	AL		0		2,242	0	2,242					
		5 - 27th St W - Phase 2 (US Open Corrido	or)															
14	P	City of University Place		06	0.800	GCPSTW	PE / 13			P	130	0	130	PE	0	0	130	0
		Bridgeport Way to 67th Ave/Mildred					RW / 14			P	250	0	250	RW	0	0	0	250
							CN / 15			P	1,750	0	1,750	CN	0	0	0	1,750
		*Construction of sidewalks, curb, gutter and	bicycle	lanes on both side	s of street.									Total	0	0	130	2,000
						PROJECT TOT	AL		0		2,130	0	2,130					
		6 - 27th/Bridgeport Intersection (US Ope	n Cor-	idor)										1				
14	P	City of University Place	ai Corti	12	n/a	GCPSTW	PE / 13				0	60	60	PE	0	0	60	0
14	r	27th Street and Bridgeport Intersection		12	II/ d	GCISIW	RW / 13				0	340	340	RW	0	0	340	0
		2, at 5acct and Bridgeport Intersection					CN / 14				0	385	385	CN	0	0	0	385
		*Construct intersection improvements					241 / 17				•	505	505	Total	0	0	400	385
																_		
						PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	785	785					
									-			700	. 05	_		_		

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date:

Resolution Number:

October 18, 2010

659

County: Pierce County

MPO: PSRC Puget Sound Regional Council

Agency: CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C-Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project Costs in 2011 Dollars X 1000)

		00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector							Phase Data	osts in 2011 Dollai			1	Expenditure	Schedule (L	ocal Agency	Use)
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2011	2nd 2012	3rd 2013	4th-6th 2014-2016
US Open Co	rridor P	rojects															
14	Р	7 - Cirque Drive - Phase 2C (US Open Corridor) City of University Place Sunset Drive to 67th Ave Curb & gutter, bike lane, sidewalk on north side.	06	0.947	GCPSTW	PE /13 RW/13 CN /14			P P P	45 17 600	5 0 0	50 17 600	PE RW CN Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	50 17 0 67	0 0 600 600
					PROJECT TOT	ΓAI.		0		662	5	667					
14	P	8 - Cirque Drive - Phase 3 (US Open Corridor) City of University Place 67th Avenue to Orchard Street * Construct curbs, gutters, sidewalk and bike lane o	06 ne side.	1.098	GCPSTW			•	P P P	150 100 2,000	0 0 0	150 100 2,000	PE RW CN Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	150 100 2,000 2,250
					PROJECT TOT	ΓAL		0		2,250	0	2,250					
16	P	9 - Cirque Drive - Phase 4 (US Open Corridor) City of University Place Grandview Drive to Sunset Drive * Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lane bot	06 h sides. Some left	1.174 turn storage	GCPSTW and medians.	PE / 14 RW / 14 CN / 15			P P P	222 275 3,000	0 0 0	222 275 3,000	PE RW CN Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	222 275 3,000 3,497
					PROJECT TOT	ΓΔΙ		0		3,497	0	3,497					
16	P	10 - Cirque/67th Intersection (US Open Corridor City of University Place Cirque Drive and 67th Avenue Intersection *Construct intersection improvements	r) 12	n/a	GCPSTW	PE / 14 RW / 14 CN / 15				0 0 0	60 50 343	60 50 343	PE RW CN Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	60 50 343 453
					PROJECT TOT	ΓAL		0	\	0	453	453					
14	F/P	11 - Bridgeport Way Phase 3B (US Open Corrid City of University Place 54th Street to Chambers Creek Road * Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk on be Include bicycle lanes, storm drainage, and street lig	06 oth sides of the stre	1.477 eet.	GCPSTW	RW / 11 CN / 14	F F P	360 730 2,500		0 0	55 113 390	415 843 2,890	PE RW CN Total	415 100 0 515	0 643 0 643	0 100 0 100	0 0 2,890 2,890
					PROJECT TOT	ΓAL		3,590		0	558	4,148					
16	P	12 - Chambers Creek Rd/Chambers Ln (US Ope City of University Place 64th Street to Bridgeport Way	06	1.420	GCPSTW	PE / 13 RW / 13 CN / 14			P P P	220 330 2,500	0 0 0	220 330 2,500	PE RW CN	0 0 0	0 0 0	220 330 2,500	0 0 0
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lane bot	h sides										Total	0	0	3,050	0
		13 - Bridgeport Way Phase 4			PROJECT TOT			0		3,050	0	3,050					
14	F/P	City of University Place Chambers Creek Road to South City Limits	06	1.477	GCPSTW	PE / 11 RW / 14 CN / 15	F P P	346 500 1,500	P	0 500	54 75 200	400 575 2,200	PE RW CN	160 0 0	160 0	80 0 0	0 575 2,200
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk on bo Include bicycle lanes, storm drainage, and street lig		et.	PROJECT TOT		r	2,346	r	500	329	3,175	Total	160	160	80	2,775
		Subtotal US Open Corridoor			FRUJECT TOT	AL		2,346 10,960		500 15,503	3,205	3,175 29,668	 				
		Sustain Co Open Corridoor						10,700		15,505	3,203	27,000	1				

MPO: PSRC Puget Sound Regional Council Agency: CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

County: Pierce County

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, 0-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project Costs in 2011 Dollars X 1000)

									Phase Data					Expenditure	Schedule (Lo	cal Agency l	Use)
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-2015
Ciass.	Status		Турс	(IIIICs)	_	Date	runu couc	1 nasc	Cour		r unus			2010	2011	2012	2010 2010
		14- 44th Street W Phase 1															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.511	GCPSTW	PE / 12					150	150	PE	0	50	100	0
		Bridgeport Way to 67th Avenue				RW / 13					90	90	RW	0	0	90	0
						CN / 14					1,595	1,595	CN	0	0	0	1,595
		* Construct curbs, gutters, sidewalks and bike	lanes both sides										Total	0	50	190	1,595
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	1,835	1,835					
		15 - Alameda North Phase 2															
17	P	City of University Place	01	1.023	GCPSTW						100	100	PE	0	0	0	100
		Cirque Drive W. to 40th St. W.				RW /15					50	50	RW	0	0	0	50
						CN / 16					1,760	1,760	CN Total	0	0	0	1,760 1,910
		* Construct curbs, gutters, sidewalks, bike lan	o stroot lights west side					7					1 otai	U	U	U	1,910
		Construct curbs, gutters, sidewarks, blke fair	ie, street fights west side.		PROJECT TOT	ΔΙ		0.		0	1,910	1,910					
					TROJECT TOT	AL					1,710	1,710					
		16 - 67th Avenue - Phase 3															
16	P	City of University Place	06	2.690	GCPSTW	PE / 15			P		440	440	PE	0	0	0	440
		Bridgeport Way to Regents Blvd.				RW / 15			P		550	550	RW	0	0	0	550
						CN / 16			P		8,800	8,800	CN	0	0	0	8,800
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk	on both sides.										Total	0	0	0	9,790
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	9,790	9,790	1				
		17 - 40th Street Phase 2															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.800	GCPSTW	PE / 11			F	127	40	167	PE	167	0	0	0
	•	Sunset Drive to 67th Avenue	00	0.000	00101	RW / NA				127	0	0	RW	0	0	0	0
						CN / 11			F	570	100	670	CN	670	0	0	0
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike las	ne on the south side										Total	837	0	0	0
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		697	140	837					
		18 - 40th Street Phase 3															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.800	GCPSTW						120	120	PE	0	80	40	0
		Bridgeport Way to 67th Avenue				RW / 13					110	110	RW	0	0	110	0
						CN / 14					980	980	CN	0	0 80	0	980
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike la	ne on the north side										Total	0	80	150	980
					PROJECT TOT	AL.		0		0	1,210	1,210					
											1,210	1,2.0					
		19 - Grandview Drive - Phase 5a															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.500	GCPSTW				F	112	40	152	PE	152	0	0	0
		27th Street to 19th Street				RW / NA				0	0	0	RW	0	0	0	0
						CN / 11			F	670	100	770	CN	770		0	0
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike la	ne on the west side										Total	922	0	0	0
								_									
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		782	140	922	1				

County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend: G-Gas, C-Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other

netional Cias	sameation	: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Co	nicctor, 17 - Local						Phase Data	osts in 2011 Dolla	/			Evnenditure	Schedule (Lo	cal Agency I	Teo)
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-2015
		20 - Grandview Drive - Phase 5b															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.500	GCPSTW	PE / 14					100	100	PE	0	0	0	100
17	•	27th Street to 19th Street	00	0.500	GCI BI W	RW / 14					120	120	RW	0	0	0	120
		27th Sheet to 17th Sheet				CN / 15					1,230	1,230	CN	0	0	0	1,230
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike la	ne on the east side			Q.11 / 13					1,250	1,230	Total	0	0	0	1,450
		, 8,															-,
					PROJECT TOT.	AL		0		0	1,450	1,450					
	_	21 - Alameda South												_	_		
17	P	City of University Place	01	0.152	GCPSTW	PE /14					65	65	PE	0	0	0	65
		From current southern terminus to 67th Ave. V	W. (South extension)			RW /14					15	15	RW	0	0	0	15
						CN / 15					627	627	CN	0	0	0	627
		* Construct curbs, gutters, sidewalks, bike lan	a bath aidea in addition	4 a 4 m a 6 G a a a a 1				2					Total	0	0	0	707
		"Collstruct curbs, gutters, sidewarks, blke fair	ie bour sides in addition	to traffic car	PROJECT TOT.	AI.		0.		0	707	707					
					THOSE OF TOTAL						707	707					
		22 - 40th/Bridgeport Intersection															
14	P	City of University Place	12	n/a	GCPSTW	PE / 12				0	60	60	PE	60	60	0	0
		40th Street and Bridgeport Intersection				RW / 12				0	340	340	RW	0	40	300	0
						CN / 13				0	385	385	CN	0	0	385	0
		*Construct intersection improvements											Total	60	100	685	0
					PROJECT TOT.	AL		0		0	785	785					
	_	23 - Drexler Drive North Phase 2													_	_	
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.300	GCPSTW						50	50	PE	50	0	0	0
		37th Street to 35th Street				RW / NA CN / 11					0 400	0 400	RW CN	0 400	0	0	0
		*6				CN / II					400	400	CN Total	450	0	0	0
		* Construct curbs, gutters, sidewalks both side	es										1 otai	450	U	0	U
					PROJECT TOT.	AL		0		0	450	450					
		24 - Sunset Drive Traffic Calming															
17	P	City of University Place	12	2.000	GCPSTW						55	55	PE	0	0	0	55
		Cirque Drive to 19th Street				RW / 15					30	30	RW	0	0	0	30
						CN / 15					968	968	CN	0	0	0	968
		* Traffic Calming at various locations											Total	0	0	0	1,053
					PROJECT TOT.	AL		0		0	1,053	1,053					
											-						
	_	25 - Chambers Creek Road "B" (lower)													_		
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.852	GCPSTW						200	200	PE	0	0	0	200
		Chambers Bay Bridge to 64th Street				RW / 14					20	20	RW	0	0	0	20
		* Construct combined pedestrian/bike path.				CN / 14					4,730	4,730	CN Total	0	0	0	4,730 4,950
		* Excludes bridge.											Total	U	U	U	4,930
		Excludes bridge.			PROJECT TOT.	A.T		0		0	4,950	4.950					
					TRUJECT 101.	n.L		U		U	4,930	4,930					

County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project	Coete	in	2011	Dollare	Y	1000)	

						_			Phase Data					Expenditure	Schedule (Lo	cal Agency U	Use)
Functional	Fund	Project Identification	Improvement	Length	Utility Codes		Federal	FF Cost by	State Fund	State Funds	Local	Total		1st	2nd	3rd	4th-6th
Class.	Status		Туре	(miles)	_	Date	Fund Code	Phase	Code		Funds			2010	2011	2012	2013-2015
		26 - Larson Lane North/35th Street															
19	Р	City of University Place	01	0.600	GCPSTW	PE / 12					85	85	PE	0	85	0	0
17		3600 blk to 35th Street/Larson Lane to Bridgepor		0.000	GCI 51 "	RW / 13					460	460	RW	0	0	460	0
		5000 bik to 55th bireet Emison Emie to Bridgepor				CN / 14					1,210	1,210	CN	0	0	1,210	0
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter, and sidewalks	on both sides									,	Total	0	85	1,670	0
		-															
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	1,755	1,755					
17	Р	27 -Sunset Drive	06	2.008	GCPSTW	DE /16					165	165	PE		0	0	165
17	Р	City of University Place Cirque Drive to 19th Street	06	2.008	GCPSIW	PE / 16 RW / 16					65	165 65	PE RW	0	0	0	65
		Cirque Drive to 19th Street				CN / 16					3,500	3,500	CN	0	0	0	3,500
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter, bike lane and s	idewalk on one side.			CI 7 10					3,500	3,300	Total	0	0	0	3,730
		, 8,												-	-	-	2,.20
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	3,730	3,730					
	_	28 - Elwood Drive												_	_		
17	P	City of University Place 29th Street to 27th Street	06	0.133	GCPSTW	PE / 15 RW /NA					65 0	65 0	PE RW	0	0	0	65 0
		29th Street to 27th Street				CN / 16					200	200	CN	0	0	0	200
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter, bike lanes and	sidewalks on the west s	side of the s	street	CN / 10					200	200	Total	0	0	0	265
		construct concrete cure, gatter, once inness and	side wants on the west	or the .	ALCCU.								20111			0	203
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	265	265					
	_	29 - 35th Street - Phase 1												_	_		
17	P	City of University Place Grandview Drive to Larson Lane	06	0.500	GCPSTW	PE / 15 RW / 16			P	75	20 40	95 40	PE RW	0	0	0	95 40
		Grandview Drive to Larson Lane				CN / 16					2,000	2.000	CN	0	0	0	2,000
		*Construction of curb, gutter, sidewalk and bicyc	le lanes on both sides o	of street.		CIV / 10					2,000	2,000	Total	0	0	0	2,135
														-	-	-	_,
					PROJECT TOT	`AL		0		75	2,060	2,135					
	_	30 - 35th Street - Phase 2							_					_			
17	P	City of University Place Drexler Drive to 67th Avenue	06	0.500	GCPSTW	PE / 15 RW / 15			P	75	20 100	95 100	PE RW	0	0	0	95 100
		Drexier Drive to 6/th Avenue				CN / 16					2,000	2,000	CN	0	0	0	2,000
		*Construction of curb, gutter, sidewalk and bicyc	le lanes on both sides o	of street.		CIV / 10					2,000	2,000	Total	0	0	0	2,195
														-	-	-	_,_,_
					PROJECT TOT	`AL		0		75	2,120	2,195					
					· ·												
	_	31 - Beckonridge Drive Phase 1							_								_
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.530	GCPSTW				P	132	30	162	PE RW	0	162 0	0	0
		Grandview Drive to Cirque Drive				RW / NA CN / 13			P	622	100	722	RW CN	0	0	0 722	0
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk or	the west side of the st	reet and bil	ke lanes on both s		street.		r	022	100	122	Total	0	162	722	0
		, 8															-
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		754	130	884	I				

County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project Costs in 2011 Dollars X 1000)	

									Phase Data					Expenditure	Schedule (Lo	cal Agency 1	Use)
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-2015
		32 - Beckonridge Drive Phase 2															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.530	GCPSTW	PE / 14					150	150	PE	0	0	0	150
		Grandview Drive to Cirque Drive				RW / NA					0	0	RW	0	0	0	0
						CN / 15					750	750	CN	0	0	0	750
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk or	n the east side of the st	reet.									Total	0	0	0	900
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	900	900					
		33 - Lemmons Beach/31st Street/Parkway															
17	P	City of University Place	06	1.000	GCPSTW	PE / 14					100	100	PE	0	0	0	100
		City Limits to Elwood Drive				RW / 14					65	65	RW	0	0	0	65
						CN / 16					3,410	3,410	CN	0	0	0	3,410
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk or	n both sides of the stree	et.				*					Total	0	0	0	3,575
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	3,575	3,575					
		34 - 44th Street Phase 2															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.549	GCPSTW	PE / 13					105	105	PE	0	0	105	0
		Elwood Drive to Bridgeport Way				RW / 14					55	55	RW	0	0	0	55
						CN / 15					1,067	1,067	CN	0	0	0	1,067
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter, bike lane and s	sidewalk on one side.										Total	0	0	105	1,122
		On 2 sides from Elwood to Sunset.			PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	1,227	1,227					
		35 - 27th Street															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.625	GCPSTW	PE / 15					200	200	PE	0	0	0	200
• ,	•	Day Island Bridge to Grandview Drive	00	0.025	00151	RW / 15					65	65	RW	0	0	0	65
		.,				CN / 16					2,200	2,200	CN	0	0	0	2,200
		*Construction of curb, gutter, sidewalk, bicycle la	ane one side and enclo	sed storm d	lrainage system.								Total	0	0	0	2,465
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	2,465	2,465					
		36 - Chambers Creek Road "C"															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.511	GCPSTW	PF / 15					150	150	PE	0	0	0	150
17	•	Chambers Lane to Bridgeport Way	00	0.511	00151 #	RW / 15					90	90	RW	0	0	0	90
		Chambers Zane to Bridgeport Way				CN / 15					2,200	2,200	CN	0	0	0	2,200
		* Construct curb, gutter, sidewalk sand bike land	es both sides side.									1,000	Total	0	0	0	2,440
					DD O FEOT TOTAL						2.442	2.110					
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	2,440	2,440					
		37 - 54th Street															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.379	GCPSTW	PE / 15					65	65	PE	0	0	0	65
		79th Avenue to Bridgeport Way				RW / 15					45	45	RW	0	0	0	45
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalks of	on the couth side of the	etroot		CN / 16					385	385	CN Total	0	0	0	385 495
		Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalks (on the south side of the	sireet.									Total	U	U	U	493
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	495	495					
					I KOJECI TOI	/AL		U		U	47.7	47.7					

MPO: PSRC Puget Sound Regional Council CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE Agency: County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project Costs in 2011 Dollars X 1000)

									Phase Data					Expenditure	Schedule (Lo		Use)
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-2015
		38 - Elwood Drive															
17	P	City of University Place	06	0.625	GCPSTW	PE / 14					150	150	PE	0	0	0	150
• ,	•	Cirque Drive to 40th Street	00	0.025	00.57.	RW / 15					90		RW	0	0	0	90
						CN / 16					1,760	1,760	CN	0	0	0	1,760
		* Construct concrete curb, gutter and sidewalks	s on both sides of street.										Total	0	0	0	2,000
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	2,000	2,000					
		39 - Street Overlay Program	07		a a p a m w	PE / 12							nr.				
14/16 17/19	P	City of University Place Various Locations	07		GCPSTW	PE / 13 RW / NA						0	PE RW	0	0	0	0
17/19		Various Locations				CN / 14					1.800	1.800	CN	0	0	U	1,800
		*Overlay program to be completed on various O	Tity streets			CN / 14					1,800	1,800	Total	0	0	0	1,800
		overmy program to be completed on various e	ony surcess.										10	Ü			1,000
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	1,800	1,800					
						-											
		40 - 37th Street															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.080	GCPSTW						100	100	PE	25	75	0	0
		Bridgeport Way to Drexler Dr.				RW / NA CN / 12					0 550	0 550	RW CN	0	0	0	0
		* Regrade street and construct curb, gutter, side	owalk and strootlights			CN / 12					550	550	CN Total	0 25	550 625	0	0
		Regrade street and construct curb, gutter, side	ewaik, and streetingins.										Total	23	023	U	0
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	650	650					
		41 - 37th Street Connection															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.114	GCPSTW	PE / 12					100	100	PE	0	100	0	0
		Sunset Drive to 7900 Block				RW / 13 CN / 14					65 770	65 770	RW CN	0	0	65 0	0 770
		* Construct roadway to complete connection				CN / 14					770	770	Total	0	100	65	770
		Construct roadway to complete connection											Total	Ü	100	0.5	770
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	935	935					
								-									
		42 - 57th Avenue Connection															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.152	GCPSTW						100	100	PE	0	100	0	0
		Cirque Drive to 5800 Block				RW / 12					65	65	RW	0	65	0	0
						CN / 14					825	825	CN	0	0	0	825
		* Construct roadway to complete connection											Total	0	165	0	825
					PROJECT TOT	ΔΙ		0		0	990	990					
					1 KOJECT TOT	AL.		U		U	770	770					
		43 - Drexler Drive South															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.150	GCPSTW	PE / 11			P	50	100	150	PE	50	100		0 0
		40th Street to 42nd Street				RW / 12			P	100		100	RW	0	100		0 0
						CN / 12			P	700	0	700	CN	0	700		0 0
		* Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	50	900		0 0
								_									
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		850	100	950					

MPO: PSRC Puget Sound Regional Council CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE Agency: County: Pierce County

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend:G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project Costs in 2011 Dollars X 1000)

									Phase Data					Expenditure	Schedule (Lo		
Functional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-2015
				///													
		44 - Larson Lane South Phase 1															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.100	GCPSTW	PE / 13					50	50	PE	0	0	50	0
		37th Street to 38th Street				RW / 13 CN / 14					295 200	295 200	RW CN	0	0	295 0	0 200
		* Construct roadway for town center grid				CN / 14					200	200	CN Total	0	0	345	200
		Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	Ü	o	545	200
					PROJECT TOTA	AL		0		0	545	545					
		45 - Larson Lane South Phase 2															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.250	GCPSTW						150	150	PE	0	0	0	150
		38th Street to 40th Street				RW / 15					1,475	1,475	RW	0	0	0	1,475
						CN / 16		_			965	965	CN	0	0	0	965
		* Construct roadway for town center grid						2					Total	0	0	0	2,590
					PROJECT TOTA	ΔΤ		0.		0	2,590	2,590					
					TROJECT TOTA	ALL .				0	2,570	2,370					
		46 - Larson Lane South Phase 3															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.250	GCPSTW	PE / 15					150	150	PE	0	0	0	150
		40th Street to 42nd Street				RW / 15					1,100	1,100	RW	0	0	0	1,100
						CN / 16					880	880	CN	0	0	0	880
		* Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	0	0	0	2,130
					PROJECT TOTA	AL		0		0	2.130	2,130					
											•		1				
		47 - 42nd Street Phase 1															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.110	GCPSTW	PE / 13					85	85	PE	0	0	85	0
		Drexler Drive to Bridgeport Way				RW / 14					465	465	RW	0	0	0	465
						CN / 15					715	715	CN	0	0	0 85	715
		* Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	0	0	85	1,180
İ					PROJECT TOTA	AI.		0		0	1,265	1,265					
					TROJECT TOTA						1,205	1,200					
1		48 - 42nd Street Phase 2															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.110	GCPSTW						75	75	PE	0	0	0	75
		Bridgeport Way to Larson Lane				RW / 15					300	300	RW	0	0	0	300
						CN / 16					539	539	CN	0	0	0	539
ĺ		* Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	0	0	0	914
					PROJECT TOTA	A.T.		0		0	914	914					
—					r KOJECT TOTA	nL.		U		U	914	914					
ĺ		49 - Market Place															
19	P	City of University Place	01	0.300	GCPSTW	PE / 11				0	40	40	PE	40	0	0	0
		Bridgeport Way to 37th Street				RW / NA				0	0	0	RW	0	0	0	0
						CN / 11				0	400	400	CN	400	0	0	0
		* Construct roadway for town center grid											Total	440	0	0	0
					pp.o.m.om						440	440					
					PROJECT TOTA	AL		0		0	440	440	I				

City of University Place, WA. Six-Year Transportation Plan 2011 - 2016

Adoption Date: Resolution Number: October 18, 2010 659


County: Pierce County

Improvement Type: 01-New Construction; 05-Minor Widening; 06-Other Enhancements; 07-Resurfacing; 12-Safety/Traffic Ops; 32-Non Motor Vehicle Utilities Legend: G-Gas, C -Cable TV, P-Power, S-Sewer, T-Telephone, W-Water, O-Other


Functional Classification: 00 - No Class; 14 - Major; 16 - Minor; 17 - Collector; 19 - Local

(Project	Coete in	2011	Dollare	Y.	1000)	

									Phase Data					Expenditur	e Schedule (Lo	ocal Agency U	Jse)
unctional Class.	Fund Status	Project Identification	Improvement Type	Length (miles)	Utility Codes	Start Date	Federal Fund Code	FF Cost by Phase	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total		1st 2010	2nd 2011	3rd 2012	4th-6th 2013-201
		50 - 40th Street/67th Avenue Intersection															
16	Р	City of University Place	12	n/a	GCPSTW	PF / 1/I				0	60	60	PE	0	0	0	60
10	1	40th Street and 67th Avenue Intersection	12	II/a	der31 w	RW / 14				0	100	100	RW	0	0	0	100
		40th Street and 07th 74venue intersection				CN / 15				0	374	374	CN	0	0	0	374
		*Construct intersection improvements				C14 / 13				Ü	374	574	Total	0	0	0	534
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	534	534					
		51 - 56th Street Extension															
17	P	City of University Place	01	0.246	GCPSTW					0	200	200	PE	0	0	0	200
		Connect 56th Street to 54th Street at the 8500 blk				RW / 15				0	800	800	RW	0	0	0	800
		*Construct intersection improvements				CN / 16				0	1,900	1,900	CN Total	0	0	0	1,900 2,900
		constitute intersection improvements											20111	Ü	Ü	Ü	2,700
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	2,900	2,900					
		52 - 70th Avenue Phase 2															
17	P	City of University Place	01	0.246	GCPSTW	PE / 15	1			0	100	100	PE	0	0	0	100
		27th Street to 19th Street				RW / 15				0	0	0	RW	0	0	0	0
		*6" 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				CN / 16				0	400	400	CN	0	0	0	400
		*Sidewalk, curb, gutter, landscaping, bikelane, and streetlights on the east side between 27th and															
		19th											Total	0	0	0	500
					PROJECT TOT	AL		0		0	500	500					
		53 - 37th Street Phase 2															
19	Р	City of University Place	01	0.057	GCPSTW	PE / 12					100	100	PE	0	100	0	0
.,	•	7900 Block to Bridgeport Way	01	0.057	00101	RW / 12					65	65	RW	0	0	65	0
						CN / 14					350	350	CN	0	0	0	350
		* Construct roadway to complete connection									,		Total	0	0	0	350
					PROJECT TOT	AI.		0		0	515	515					
											- 11						
	-	54 - Intermodal Transit Facility Phase 3	0.5		a a n a m	DE / 12	FTA			\				25			_
0	F	City of University Place	06	n/a	GCPSTW		F	60			15	75	PE	75	0	0	0
		3609 Market Place W				CN / 11	F	675			169	844	RW CN	844 0	0	0	0
		*Transit Garage Tenant Improvements										Ů	Total	919	0	0	0
					PROJECT TOT	AL				0	184	919					
	-	GRAND TOTAL						11,328	0	18,736	67,779	98,210		3,703	2,317	4,050	58,4
		JAMES TOTAL						11,020	v	10,750	31,117	70,210	·	3,703	2,017	1,000	20,7



CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE U.S. OPEN CORRIDOR PLAN



CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE PUBLIC WORKS 6 YEAR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2011-2016

University Place

Environmental Checklist

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EPS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impact from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instruction for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring presentation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if the question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, contact University Place Planning and Land Services for assistance.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental impacts. The checklist will be reviewed within thirty (30) days. Delays may occur if you are asked to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impacts. A letter will be sent to you if additional information is needed. Therefore, it is in your best interest to provide complete and detailed information on the checklist.

A "Sample" checklist is available at:

City of University Place 3715 Bridgeport Way West University Place, WA 98466

For further information on completing the checklist, contact: UP Department of Planning and Community Development at (253) 566-5656.

For Staff Use		
	Check All Front Page Entries	
	Check Signature and Date	
	Check for Notary Stamp	Ť
	Site Plan: Submit site plan, $8\ 1/2\ x\ 11$ or $8\ 1/2\ x\ 14$ (unless otherwise specification materials.) Plan must be clearly legible and contain pertinent in	

University Place Environmental Checklist

Action:

		Receipt:
		Received By: Date:
		I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION
1.	Name of Proposal (if ap	plicable) City of University Place Six-Year Transportation Plan (Amendment)
2.	Applicant:	City of University Place
	a) Address: b) City/State/Zip:	3715 Bridgeport Way West University Place, Washington 98466 Phone: (253) 566-5656
3.	Agent:	City Engineer
	a) Address:b) City/State/Zip:	3715 Bridgeport Way West University Place, Washington 98466 Phone: (253) 566-5656
4.	Location of Project:	City of University Place
	a) Address: N/A	
	b) Section: <u>4, 9-1</u> <u>Range: 2E</u>	1, 14-17, 20-23 and 27-29 Quarter: 9-10, 15-16, 21-22 Township: 20N
	c) Tax Parcel Nu	mber: N/A
	d) Legal Descript	ion: City-wide.
	e) Nearest Town	or City: Cities of Fircrest, Tacoma, Lakewood, Steilacoom.
	f) Site Plan: Subrapplication ma	nit site plan, $8\ 1/2\ x\ 11$ or $8\ 1/2\ x\ 14$ (unless otherwise specified in further terials). Plan must be clearly legible and contain pertinent information.
5.	Zoning or Environment Commercial, Mixed Use Leach Creek Study Area	e, Mixed Use Office, Commercial, Manufacturing/Industrial, Public Facilities,
6.	Shoreline Master Progra	am Designation: Urban, Conservatory and Natural
7.	Size of Project:	+/- 8.5 Square Miles
	a) Total Acres:	+/- 8.5 Square Miles N/A eet of Building: N/A
	b) Total Square F	eet of Building: N/A
8.	Description of Site as it	Currently Exists:
		Place is a suburban community with a population of +/ 30,300 population with ut not limited to, residential, commercial limited industrial.

9. Adjacent land uses around the site:

The City of University Place is located west of the City of Fircrest, and both south and west of the City of Tacoma. University Place abuts Puget Sound to the west, and unincorporated Pierce County and the City of Lakewood to the south.

10. Description of Proposal and Uses: City of University Place Six-Year Transportation Plan.

Transportation Plan projects to be completed include: Cirque Drive sidewalks, Bridgeport Way West Phases 3&4, 67th Avenue sidewalks and various Neighborhood Capital Improvements.

11. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, please explain.

The Six-Year Transportation Plan will be amended annually to incorporate future projects as necessary.

12. Proposed timing for completion of the proposal, including phasing if applicable:

The Six-Year Transportation Plan is a Six-Year Plan, commencing 2011 through 2016.

13. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared or will be prepared directly related to this proposal:

None known to date.

14. Has a forest practices application been approved for the property during the past six years? If yes, please attach a copy of the forest practices application to the checklist:

Not known.

15. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals drectly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, please explain:

Not known.

16. List all the permits, licenses, or Government Approvals for the proposal (Federal, State and Local, including Rezones):

Adoption of this TIP and any necessary amendments will require public hearings and action by the City Council.

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

To be completed by Applicant:

1. Earth

- a) General description of the site (circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

 Varies by project site.
- b) What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope?)

 From 0% to 8%.
- c) What general types of soils are found on the site (i.e., clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck, etc.?) If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Varies by project site.

d) Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, please describe:

No indications in the project area.

- e) Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:
 - Some filling and grading will be incorporated into the construction process of the TIP projects.
- f) Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction or use? If so, generally describe:

Erosion may occur if not properly addressed. Each project will have proper erosion control measures.

g) About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction? (i.e., asphalt or buildings?)

Varies by project.

h) Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

City construction standards will include provisions to control erosion or other impacts to the earth.

2. Air

a) What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke, etc.) during the construction and when project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities, if known.

Construction phases on the TIP projects may generate a number of different air pollution types.

b) Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe:

No.

c) Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to the air, if any:

N/A

3. Water

a) Surfaces

i) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, salt water, lakes, ponds, wetland, etc.)? If yes, please describe type(s) and provide name(s). If appropriate, state the stream or river into which it flows.

The City of University Place abuts Puget Sound. Several creeks and streams are present within City limits.

ii) Will the project require any work over, in or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans for this work.

Unknown at this time.

Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in, or removed from, surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material and/or the disposal site.

Filling and dredge in these waters is discouraged. In the event that such activities cannot be avoided, these activities will be regulated as required by state and local code.

iv) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose and approximate quantities, if known.

None anticipated at this time.

v) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year Floodplain? If so, note Floodplain location on site plan.

Not Applicable.

vi) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No discharges anticipated at this time.

b) Ground

 Will groundwater be withdrawn or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose and approximate quantities of withdrawals or discharges, if known.

Not anticipated at this time.

ii) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (i.e. domestic sewage; industrial sewage, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) is/are expected to serve:

N/A

c) Water Runoff (including stormwater)

i) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, please describe: Storm water runoff from roads and other impervious surfaces infiltrates in roadside ditches and retention ponds throughout the City. The storm water system also has numerous outfalls to discharge water into the Puget Sound.

ii) Will this project generate waste materials which, if not handled properly, could enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe:

None anticipated.

d) Proposed measures to reduce or control surface water, groundwater and runoff impacts, if any:

The TIP includes projects which incorporate design and construction of storm water systems to control surface water.

4. Plants

a) Circle types of vegetation found on the site and list specific species:

- i) deciduous trees: alder, maple, aspen, other:
- ii) evergreen trees: fir, cedar, pine, other:
- iii) shrubs:
- iv) pasture:
- v) grass:
- vi) crop or grain:
- vii) wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other:
- viii) water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other:
- other types of vegetation:

VARIES BY PROJECT SITE.

b) What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Although the intent is to preserve existing native vegetation, some may be disturbed or altered during TIP project construction.

c) List threatened or endangered plant species known to be on or near the site:

None known in project areas. Each project will be reviewed in particular to determine species of concern.

d) Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Landscaping will be incorporated into one or more of the TIP projects.

5. Animals

a) Circle any birds/animals that have been observed on or near the site, or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: hawk, owl, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

- ii) Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
- iii) Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:
- iv) Reptiles: snakes, toads, frogs, lizards, other:

Varies by project site.

b) List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site:

None known as resident; some transient avian populations may occur. Each projectwill be reviewed in particular to determine species of concern.

c) Is the site part of a migration route (bird, mammal or fish)? If so, please explain:

Not known.

d) Is the site on or near a known protected area?

The creeks, wetlands and shoreline areas are protected as fish and wildlife habitat areas.

e) Proposed measures to preserve, protect or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a) What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.?

The TIP incorporates streetlight placement on City arterials. This component will utilize electrical energy.

b) Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe:

It is not anticipated that this project will have an adverse effect on the use of solar energy in the City.

c) What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Energy conservation is a goal of the City. A variety of methods will be utilized to promote energy conservation.

7. Environmental Health

a) Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire, explosion, spill or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe:

None anticipated.

 Describe special emergency services that might be required (for example chemical spills or explosions.)

None.

ii) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A

b) Noise

i) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project? For example: traffic, construction, or production equipment:

Some heavy equipment construction noise may be generated during project construction phases.

ii) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on either a short-term or long-term basis (i.e. traffic, construction, or production equipment)? Indicate the hours that noise would be generated by the site:

Construction may create transient noise in the project areas. The construction hours will be limited in accordance with City Ordinances.

iii) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a) What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

University Place is a City of just over 30,300. The City is located west of the City of Fircrest, south and west of the City of Tacoma, and north and west of unincorporated Pierce County and the City of Lakewood. Surrounding land uses include, but are not limited to: residential, commercial, recreational and open space.

b) Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe:

Areas within the City have been, and limited areas still are, utilized for agricultural production.

c) Describe any structures on the site:

The City is comprised of numerous structures, including but not limited to: several thousand single family homes, multi-family residential buildings, commercial and light industrial buildings, agriculture and accessory structures, utility and public facility structures such as schools, a library, city hall, a police precinct and a fire station.

d) Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

None anticipated at this time.

e) What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The City contains zone classifications or designations including: R1, R2, Multi-Family, Town Center, Neighborhood Commercial, Mixed Use, Mixed Use Office, Commercial, Manufacturing/Industrial, Public Facilities, Leach Creek Study Area.

f) What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The comprehensive designations in the City correspond and are synonymous with the zoning classifications or designations above.

g) If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The City has three shoreline designations: Urban, Conservancy and Natural.

h) Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify:

The TIP incorporates one or more projects which may occur in an environmentally sensitive site. Each project will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to ensure compliance with environmental regulations.

i) Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A

j) Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k) Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Each project will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to ensure compliance with existing and projected land uses.

9. Housing

a) Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether it would be high, middle, or low-income housing:

None.

b) Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a) What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas or chimneys:

N/A

b) What are the principal exterior building material(s) and colors proposed for the project?

N/A

c) What is the proposed ratio of building coverage to lot size?

N/A

d) What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A

e) Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A

11. Light and Glare

a) What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

The TIP incorporates street lighting on City arterials. The lighting will occur mainly in evening on arterial streets.

b) Could light or glare from the finished product be a safety hazard, interfere with views or affect wildlife?

No.

c) What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d) Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
 Not Applicable.

12. Recreation

- a) What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinities?
 Varies by project component location.
- b) Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe:
- c) Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a) Are there any places or objects listed on, proposed for, or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers on or next to the site?

No.

b) Generally describe any landmarks, or evidence of historical, archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site:

None

c) Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

None.

14. Transportation

a) Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on the site plan, if any:

Varies by project component location.

b) Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Public Transit currently maintains several stops in one or more of the TIP Projects.

c) How many parking spaces would the complete project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable.

d) Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe and indicate whether public or private?

Most of the projects in the Six-Year Transportation Plan include improvements in the way of pedestrian provisions.

e) Will the project use (or occur in the general vicinity of) water, or air transportation? If so, generally describe:

No.

f) How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

Not known at this time.

g) Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.

None

15. Public Services

a) Would the project result in an increased need for public services (i.e. fire protection, police protection, health care, schools?) If so, generally describe:

None.

b) Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Not applicable.

16. Utilities

a) Identify existing utilities by name:

i)	Electricity:	Tacoma City Light
ii)	Natural gas:	Puget Sound Energy
iii)	Water:	Tacoma City Water
iv)	Telephone:	Qwest
v)	Refuse service:	University Place Refuse Service, Inc.
vi)	Sanitary sewer:	Pierce County Public Works & Utilities
vii)	Septic system:	Pierce County Health Dept.
viii)	Other	Comcast (Cable), Click! Network (Cable)

b) Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general utility construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

The TIP Plan incorporates street lighting on City arterials. This component will require Tacoma City Light providing electrical service. Lights will be paced on existing poles by contract.

1/2

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS

(DO NOT USE THIS SHEET FOR PROJECT ACTIONS)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of how the extent of the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect an item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan includes projects which may increase impervious surface, thereby increasing discharge to water systems.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

All projects will be reviewed with regard to storm system adequacy. Improvements will be made as necessary to ensure appropriate handling of surface water runoff.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

No affects to plants, animals, fish or marine life are anticipated.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

All projects will be reviewed to limit effects to the environment.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Not anticipated.

Proposed measures to protect energy or conserve natural resources are:

All projects will be reviewed to protect energy and conserve natural resources.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

Projects included in the Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan are not anticipated to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated for government protection.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Projects will be individually reviewed for impacts to environmentally sensitive or government protected areas.

5. How would the proposal likely affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

Projects included in the Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan are not anticipated to affect land and shoreline uses.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

All projects will be individually reviewed to ensure compliance with adopted land uses.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan includes road improvement and building projects. Some increases in demand for transportation, public services (i.e. mass transit) and utilities may occur.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

All projects will be individually reviewed to determine and address any impacts to transportation, public services or utilities.

7. 7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

Projects are not anticipated to conflict with any environmental protection laws or requirements.

FREE CONSENT STATEMENT

UNIVERSITY PLACE ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

In witness whereof, said partners here	to have caused this instrument to	be executed this 223/10 day of
December	, 2010	
	solutel	ser ser
fr	ohn O. Ecklund, P.E., City Engine	er
	ity of University Place	
PROPERTY OWNER		PROPERTY OWNER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT
	ACKNOWLEDGM	ENT
CTA TO OF WAR OVER COMMAND		
STATE OF WASHINGTON)		
) SS		
COUNTY OF PIERCE)	-/-	
I Suzanne M Grover Notary Public	in and for the State of Washington	, residing at Thurston County, Washington, do
		, 2010, personally
		vidual so described in and who executed the within
		free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and
purposes herein mentioned.		
Given under my hand and official sea	1	
	0	
this of	lecember	, 2010.
(seal)		
HIED CHAIRSES, C. C.		Jugann M. Shover
NOTAPLE	m s	ry Public in and for the State of Washington
ST. PUBLIC "	3	nne M. Grover
EA	41/4	ling at: Thurston County, Washington
WASHING		ppointment expires:



Proponent:

ITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE

3715 Bridgeport Way West - Suite B-1 University Place, WA 98466-4456

Phone: (253) 566-5656 • Fax: (253) 566-5658 city hall@citvofup.com

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Description of Proposal: The City of University Place is preparing to update their 6-year transportation plan. Projects will include; Bridgeport Way West Phases 3 & 4, 67th Avenue sidewalks, Cirque Drive Sidewalks and various Neighborhood Capital Improvements.

Location of Proposal: The City of University Place, Pierce County WA

Lead Agency: City of University Place

City of University Place

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of an environmental checklist, a site visit and other information on file with the City of University Place. This information is available to the public on request.

There is no	o comment period required for this DNS.
This DNS is	s issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for date below.
Responsible Offi	cial Kevin Briske
Position/Title:	Principal Planner
Phone:	(253) 460-5405
Address:	3715 Bridgeport Way West, University Place, WA 98466
	Date: December 28, 2010 21C.075 and the University Place Environmental Regulations, decisions of the Responsible aled. Appeals are filed with appropriate fees at the City of University Place City Hall, located at
	West. Appeals must be filed within 14 days of the expiration of the decision date above.