RESOLUTION NO 608

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AMENDING THE CITY'S PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION 571

WHEREAS because the Growth Management Act (RCW 36 70A) requires that the City plan for recreation and open space among other land uses the City adopted a Parks Recreation and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan) and has incorporated by reference to the PROS Plan into the Capital Facilities element of its Comprehensive Plan and

WHEREAS, the City has adopted its first PROS Plan in 1997 and adopted a new PROS Plan in 2007 with new and updated information and analysis and

WHEREAS the City has developed and values greatly key partnerships with many public agencies for the use and development of property within the City for park and recreation purposes and

WHEREAS certain tables in the 2007 PROS Plan identified the City's adopted and existing levels of service for types of park facilities within the City and these tables contained certain park lands and facilities owned and operated by other agencies in the current level of service namely the University Place School District facilities and the Chambers Creek Properties and

WHEREAS excluding park facilities owned and operated by non-city jurisdictions in the PROS Plan's Capacity Analysis and Level of Service Tables accurately reflects the City s own needs to maintain existing levels of service for the purpose of more precisely assessing the impacts of new development within the City now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS

Section 1 Amendment of Capacity Analysis and Level of Service Tables The 2007 Parks
Recreation and Open Space Plan adopted by Resolution 571 on November 5 2007 is hereby amended
by the City Council to revise the Capacity Analysis and Level of Service tables as indicated in Exhibit A

Section 2 <u>Effective Date</u> This Resolution shall take effect on January 1 2009

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON DECEMBER 1, 2008

Linda Bird Mayor

ATTEST

Emellita Genetia City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

PARK RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN CAPACITY ANALYSIS AND LEVEL OF SERVICE TABLES

Capacity Analysis

The GRASP™ analysis provides a comprehensive view of the levels of service from an overall quality and distribution perspective. It is also useful to look at the quantities and capacities of individual features including but not limited to sport courts, athletic fields, and picnic shelters, where having an adequate supply of facilities is more important than the location or distribution of those facilities

Capacity LOS for Recreation Components

Tables 15, 16 and 17 show the capacity for passive, active and indoor recreation components provided by the city respectfully. These tables show the quantities currently available for various components throughout the city. Components that are under construction, presently funded, or otherwise expected to be in place within the next five years have been counted. For each component, the tables show the current quantity of that component on a "per-1000 persons" basis and the pro-rata number of persons in University. Place represented by each component for all public facilities. The tables also include a projection of the number facilities that will need to be added to maintain the current ratios as the population grows and a recommended target capacity based on regional and national standards and community input.

Capacity LOS for Passive Recreation Components

The October 2005 Citizen Survey indicates that some of the highest priorities for the general public are additional open space and trails. Table 14 shows that there are 51 2 412 acres of natural areas in University Place. Some of these are entire parcels of land, and some are portions of existing parks or other developed sites. Unfortunately most of this natural open space is inaccessible to the public. Over 200 acres is in Chambers Creek Canyon and another 100 acres in the southern portion of Chambers Creek Properties. Although Table 14 shows that the city has approximately 1 2 ½ miles of the trails it needs, these trails include street sidewalks and the paved Grandview Trail. The City completely lacks nature trails in wooded and natural open space areas.

Establishing a Chambers – Leach Creek trail corridor by acquiring properties and/or trail easements and building a trail will open much of the available natural areas in the city and provide the trail deficit. Other passive components the City needs to meet adopted service levels include two bandstands, four large picnic shelters, three trailheads and two water features

| | CITY Ca | ipacity l | T _OS for P | able 15 assive R | ecreatio | on Compo | onents | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| | / | Acres* | Amphitheater | Band Stand | Natural Area in | | Paths and Trails | P _{ICNIC} Shefter Large | Pond | Garden | Trail Head | Water Feature |
| INVENTORY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| City of University Place | | 99 62 | 0 | 1 | 51 2 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CURRENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population) | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| CURRENT POPULATION | 31,440 | | | | · | | | | | | | |
| Current Level of Service (Capacity) | | 3 17 | 0 00 | 0 03 | 1 63 | 0 10 | 0 04 | 0 06 | 0.06 | 0 03 | 0 03 | 0 03 |
| Population per component | | 355 | 0 | 31 440 | 614 06 | 10480 00 | 26 200 | 15 720 | 15 720 | 31 440 | 31 440 | 31 440 |
| TARGET LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population) | r | γ | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Y |
| Target Level of Service | | 12 00 | 0 02 | 0 08 | 72 | 0 15 | 0 25 | 0 15 | 0 06 | 0 04 | 0 12 | 0 09 |
| Population per component | | 83 | 50 000 | 12 500 | 139 | 6 667 | 4 000 | 6 667 | 16 667 | 25 000 | 8 333 | 11 111 |
| Current Need Total # needed in place to attain target standard at current population | | 377 28 | 0 63 | 2 52 | 226 37 | 4 72 | 7 86 | 4 72 | 1 89 | 1 26 | 3 77 | 2 83 |
| Number that should be added to achieve target LOS at current population | <u>:</u>] | 277 66 | 0 63 | 1 52 | 175 17 | 1 72 | 6 66 | 2 72 | 0 00 | 0 26 | 2 77 | 1 83 |
| PROJECTED POPULATION YEAR 2017 | 33,500 | | | | | | | <i></i> | | | | |
| Future Need Total # needed to achieve target LOS at projected population | - | 402 00 | 0 67 | 2 68 | 241 20 | 5 03 | 8 38 | 5 03 | 2 01 | 1 34 | 4 02 | 3 02 |
| Number that should be added to current target levels achieve target LOS at projected population | | 302 38 | 0 67 | 1 68 | 190 00 | 2 03 | 7 18 | 3 03 | 0 00 | 0 34 | 3 02 | 2 02 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |

Capacity LOS for Active Recreation Components

Table 16 indicates that the greatest need the city has is for tennis courts, a spray pad and sand volleyball courts. What Table 16 does not indicate is the distribution of active recreation components around the city. The City lacks active recreation facilities in the northwest and the southeast as indicated in the GRASP™ Analysis

Another priority from the survey is for additional youth sports fields and improvements to neighborhood parks with playgrounds, etc. Table 16 shows that all but one of the City's has only one multi-purpose fields are located at schools and on other properties not owned by the city. Similarly, the City has only less than half of the existing playgrounds needed are found within city parks.

Although the City and School District have a good-working relationship, many of the playfields and playgrounds are restricted during school hours. The City will need to purchase land in underserved areas of the city, and construct both playfields and playgrounds to better meet the demand for these facilities. Some of the playfields should be sports specific and include amenities such as backstops, spectator stands and scoreboards.

Capacity for Indoor Recreation Components

Table 17 shows indoor facilities on a component basis. The table shows that the city has only the Senior/Community Center_, and a few other components are provided by the School District. The most notable of these are the gymnasiums and the indoor swimming pool.

The citizen survey indicated a strong preference for an indoor walking and jogging track, which currently does not exist. The next set of preferences was made up of weights, cardio-vascular, aerobics/fitness/dance space, and facilities for lap swimming and a leisure pool. Table 17 shows that there are no fitness/weight room facilities or at present, and that there is only one indoor pool currently operated by the School District.

The table indicates there is also an unmet demand for meeting room/multipurpose room space. Concern has been expressed that the Senior/Community Center is too small, and staff experience is that there is more demand for meeting space than can be presently met. This type of space is best to be considered in combination with other types of indoor recreation spaces when the opportunity presents itself.

| | | СІТҮ | ['] Capacity | | Table 16 Active Red | creation Co | omponents | ; | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|-----------------|
| | | Backstop | Balifield | Basketball | Football Field | Но | Mulipurpose Flass | bed yeld | PlayBround | Skate Park | Spray Pad | Tennis Court | Track | Volleybali Sand |
| INVENTORY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| City of University Place | | 0 | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CURRENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population) | ······································ | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| CURRENT POPULATION | 31,400 | | | | | | | | | | | , | T | أسيح |
| Current Level of Service (Capacity) | | 0 00 | 0 13 | 0 02 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 0 03 | 0 03 | 0 13 | 0 03 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 0 03 |
| Population per component | | 0 | 7 850 | 62 800 | 0 | 0 | 31 400 | 31 400 | 7 850 | 31 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 400 |
| TARGET LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population) | | | 1 000 | | 0.04 | 0.04 | T 0.40 | | | | | | 1 000 | |
| Target Level of Service | 1 | 0 08 | 0 22 | 012 | 0.01 | 0 01 | 012 | 01 | 0 21 | 0 04 | 0 04 | 03 | 0 02 | 0 12 |
| Population per component CURRENT NEED Total # needed in place to attain target | } | 12,500 | 4,545 | 8,333 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 8,333 | 10,000 | 4,762 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 3,333 | 50,000 | 8,333 |
| standard at current population | | 2 51 | 6 91 | 3 77 | 0 31 | 0 31 | 3 77 | 3 14 | 6 59 | 1 26 | 1 26 | 9 42 | 0 63 | 3 77 |
| Number that should be added to achieve target LOS at current population | | 2 51 | 291 | 3 27 | 0 31 | 0 31 | 2 77 | 2 14 | 2 59 | 0 26 | 1 26 | 9 42 | 063 | 2 77 |
| PROJECTED POPULATION YEAR 2017 | 33,500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | $\overline{}$ |
| Total # needed to achieve target LOS at projected population | | 2 68 | 7 37 | 4 02 | 0 34 | 0 34 | 4 02 | 3 35 | 7 04 | 1 34 | 1 34 | 10 05 | 0 67 | 4 02 |
| Number that should be added to current target levels achieve target LOS at projected population | | 2 68 | 3 37 | 3 52 | 0 34 | 0 34 | 3 02 | 2 35 | 3 04 | 0 34 | 1 34 | 10 05 | 0 67 | 3 02 |

| CITY Capacity L | | Laple 17 | | | ots Oymnasıum | Indoor Pool | Multi purpose Room |
|--|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| INVENTORY | | | | | | | |
| City of University Place | | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CURRENT LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population | | | | | | | |
| CURRENT POPULATION | 31,400 | | | | | | |
| Current Level of Service (Capacity) | | 0 00 | 0 03 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 0 00 |
| Population per component | | | 30 390 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 |
| TARGET LEVEL OF SERVICE (per 1000 population |) | | | | | | |
| Target Level of Service | _ | 0 04 | 0 03 | 0 04 | 0 05 | 0 02 | 0 05 |
| Population per component | | 25 000 | 33,333 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 | 20 000 |
| CURRENT NEED Total # needed in place to attain target standard at current population | | 1 256 | 0 942 | 1 256 | 1 57 | 0 628 | 1 57 |
| Number that should be added to achieve target LOS at current population | | 1 26 | 0 00 | 1 26 | 1 57 | 0 63 | 1 57 |
| PROJECTED POPULATION - YEAR 2017 | 33,500 | | | | | | |
| Total # needed to achieve target LOS at projected population | | 1 34 | 1 01 | 1 34 | 1 68 | 0 67 | 1 68 |
| Number that should be added to achieve target LOS at projected population | | 1 34 | 0 00 | 1 34 | 1 68 | 0 67 | 1 68 |