



CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE SEWER SERVICE STRATEGY

Surcharge Proposal

May 2005

Table of Contents
List of Tables and Exhibits

I. INTRODUCTION

Introduction 1

II. BACKGROUND

How were sewers financed in UP? 2
 What are the reasons for extending sewers in UP? 2
 What are current city policies? 4
 Which sewer utilities serve UP? 4
 How much does it cost to extend sewers? 4
 From a historical perspective, what did the city consider? 5
 What is the current policy direction for sewers? 6

III. POLICY PROPOSAL

Summary of Key Characteristics of Proposal 7
 University Place Surcharge Proposal 7
 Allocation of Sewer Surcharge 8
 Mandatory Connection 12
 Deferral of Connection 12

Tables

Table 1: Developed Unsewered Parcels Within University Place 2
 Table 2: Estimated Project Costs 4
 Table 3: Parcel Data 5
 Table 4: Summary of Key Characteristics of Each Alternative 6
 Table 5: Summary of Key Characteristics of Proposal 7
 Table 6: Estimated UP Sewer Surcharge Revenues 7
 Table 7: Sewer Rate Comparison 8
 Table 8: Estimated Financial Impacts per ERU (\$5.54 Surcharge) 9
 Table 9: Surcharge Investment Opportunities 10
 Table 10: Comparison of Sewer System Surcharge Options 11

Exhibits

Exhibit A: Prioritization Criteria i
 Exhibit B: Surcharge Investment Opportunities/Financing Opportunities ii
 Exhibit C: Examples of Projects that a Surcharge Could Assist v
 Exhibit D: University Place Municipal Code (UPMC) Section 19.070.060 vi
 Table 3-1: Sanitary Sewer Project Matrix Evaluation (February 2004) vii
 Proposed Mandatory Connection Ordinance
 Proposed Resolution to Pierce County Council recommending a Surcharge
 Proposed Interlocal Agreement with Pierce County (not included)